

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
11

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 SUSAN SMET,

16 Defendant.
17
18

Case No.: 2:14-PO-00037-JTR

ORDER GRANTING
UNSUPERVISED PRE-
TRIAL DIVERSION
AGREEMENT

19 Upon consideration of the parties' Unsupervised Pre-Trial Diversion
20 Agreement and being fully advised of the facts and circumstances of this case, and
21 having further conducted a colloquy with Defendant in open court in the presence
22 of her counsel, the Court finds the Defendant has agreed to comply with the terms
23 and conditions of the Unsupervised Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement (ECF 15); that
24 Defendant has acknowledged the admissibility of the stipulated facts and law
25 enforcement reports in any criminal hearing on the underlying offense or offenses
26 held subsequent to revocation of the order granting pre-trial diversion; that the

1 Defendant's statements were made knowingly and voluntarily; and that the
2 Defendant has knowingly and voluntarily and intelligently waived her
3 Constitutional and statutory rights to a speedy trial, to question those witnesses
4 against her, to call witnesses on her behalf, and to present evidence or a defense at
5 trial, and to appeal any verdict, and that Defendant's decision to enter into the
6 Unsupervised Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement is knowing and intelligent and
7 voluntary.

8
9 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 10 1. The Defendant is accepted for unsupervised pre-trial diversion;
11 2. The Defendant shall comply with all the terms and conditions as set forth
12 in the Unsupervised Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement;
13 3. If the Court finds that the Defendant violated any term of the
14 Unsupervised Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement, the Court shall make
15 findings pursuant to the procedures outlined in the Agreement.

16
17 DATED June 18, 2014.



23
24
25
26
27
28

JOHN T. RODGERS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1

2

3